

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.           | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/919,868  | 08/02/2001    | Yen-Ting Lu          | 4425-168                      | 41,76            |
| 75  | 90 02/21/2003 |                      |                               |                  |
| LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP                      |               |                      | EXAMINER                      |                  |
| Suite 310<br>1700 Diagonal Road<br>Alexandria, VA 22314 |               | -                    | YOUNG, CHRISTOPHER G          |                  |
|   |               |                      | ART UNIT                      | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |               |                      | 1756  DATE MAILED: 02/21/2003 | 2                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ;;•  |   |                                      | nh                                |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                         |                                   |
| Office Action Summary  | 09/9/9 868<br>Examiner  |                                      | l Init                            |
| •  | CAMINIEI  | oung Group: Art                      | 57                                |
| —The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | pears on the cover sheet  | beneath the corresponde              | nce address                       |
| riod f r Reply   |   |                                      |                                   |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE<br>OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   | T TO EXPIRE3 -  | MONTH(S) FROM THI                    | E MAILING DATE                    |
| <ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by deferming the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set</li> </ul> | a reply within the statutory mir<br>ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS f | nimum of thirty (30) days will be co | onsidered timely.<br>nunication . |
| Status /   | <i>,</i>  |                                      |                                   |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/2  | 101   |                                      | •                                 |
| ☐ This action is FINAL.  | •   |                                      |                                   |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exc<br>accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,  |   |                                      | is closed in                      |
| isp sition of Claims   |   |                                      |                                   |
| ✓_Claim(s)   |   | is/are pending in th                 | e application.                    |
| Of the above claim(s)  |   |                                      | om consideration.                 |
| □ Claim(s)   |   | is/are allowed.                      |                                   |
| □ Claim(s) /-20  |   | is/are rejected.                     |                                   |
| ☐ Claim(s)   |   |                                      |                                   |
| □ Claim(s)   |   |                                      | iction or election                |
| pplication Papers  |   | requirement.                         |                                   |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draft   | wing Review, PTO-948.   |                                      |                                   |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on  | •   | d 🗆 disapproved.                     |                                   |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob  | pjected to by the Examine   | r.                                   |                                   |
| $\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   | 4                                    |                                   |
| $\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine   | r.  |                                      |                                   |
| ri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  |   |                                      |                                   |
| ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies  | •   | • • •                                |                                   |
| received.  |   |                                      |                                   |
| <ul> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the</li> </ul>   |   |                                      |                                   |
| *Certified copies not received:  |   | •                                    |                                   |
| ttachment(s)   |   |                                      |                                   |
| ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap   | r No(s)   | Interview Summary, PTO-4             | 13                                |
| ★Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892   |   | Notice of Informal Patent A          | pplication, PTO-15                |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat int Drawing R view, PTO   | )-948   | ☐ Other                              |                                   |

Office Acti n Summary

Art Unit: 1756

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

20 000

Art Unit: 1756

التي و معاليت. و

4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Appelt et al.

The claims are shown, described and taught by the prior art reference. See the claims in particular.

5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Appelt et al., Yedur et al., or Pierrat.

Each of these references describes, teaches, and suggests the essential requirements of the invention as claimed. Appelt et al. Anticipates the scope as set forth in paragraph 4 above. The other references also show the claimed subject matter primarily in the claims of the documents. These two patents are drawn to mask repair, but one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it prima facie obvious to apply these mask repair techniques to any photoresist absent objective evidence to the contrary. This is motivated by the fact that mask imaging and repair closely follows basic photoresist/semiconductor processing steps and rules.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Young whose telephone number is (703) 308-2984.

CHRISTOPHER G. YÖUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

cgy

February 19, 2003